

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/767,668	01/29/2004		Julian S. Crawford	033583.00007	5426	
7590 09/01/2004				EXAM	EXAMINER	
McNair Law 1	Firm, P.	.A.	EDWARDS, NEWTON O			
P.O. Box 1082	7					
Greenville, SC 29603				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1774		
				DATE MAIL ED: 00/01/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/767,668	CRAWFORD ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	N Edwards	1774					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>.</u> .	·					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x <i>parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 4 5	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ¡	oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		d in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat	e					
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	itenii Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/767,668

Art Unit: 1774

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-12, drawn to a yarn, classified in class 428, subclass 373.

II. Claims 13-18, drawn to a method pf making, classified in class 264, subclass 172.1+.

If Group I is elected, Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C 121 to elect a single disclosed species from claim 2 and claim 3.

III. Claims 19 and 20, drawn to a fabric, classified in class 442, subclass various.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions Group I and Group II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case providing, blending, drawing, rolling, and heat setting.

Inventions Group I and III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as an entangled yarn or a component in a composite and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious

Application/Control Number: 10/767,668

Art Unit: 1774

Page 3

variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Henry Jauda on 8/24/04. Mr. Jauda to request a written requirement for restriction on 8/30/04.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Primary Examiner Edwards at telephone number 571-272-1521.

N. Edwards/af August 31, 2004

N.EDWARDS
PRIMAPY EXAMINER